

0015  
57-2162-A

ERIC 7-4805 4

JUL 13 1957

**The Honorable Joel T. Breyhill  
House of Representatives  
Washington 25, D. C.**

Dear Mr. Brewster,

DOCUMENT NO.	NO. 100-1000000000000000
<input type="checkbox"/>	SEARCHED
<input type="checkbox"/>	INDEXED
<input type="checkbox"/>	SERIALIZED
<input type="checkbox"/>	FILED
APR 1 2001	
FBI - NEW YORK	
AUTHOR	1
DATE: <u>24/2/01</u>	VIEWER# <u>1</u>

STAT

I have for consideration the letter addressed to you by Mr. William E. Neumeyer of McLean, Virginia, and the letter to Mr. Neumeyer from the Director of the Federal Housing Administration in the District of Columbia, regarding the possible effect the development of our headquarters site at Langley might have upon the property of Mr. Neumeyer and some of his neighbors. I regret that I do not have a copy of Mr. Neumeyer's letter to the Federal Housing Administration, but with regard to the points to which Mr. Basslinger addressed himself in his reply, I believe Mr. Neumeyer's fears can be relieved to a very large extent.

Mr. Neumeyer telephoned this Agency on June 7, 1957, and spoke with a representative of our Building Planning Staff in behalf of Mr. Reverumba and Mr. Carpenter, who are neighbors of Mr. Neumeyer also owning property along Savile Lane near our site boundary. At that time Mr. Neumeyer expressed his concern over information he had received from his conversations with some of the workmen who were engaged in making test borings for soil analysis on our property. Our representative informed Mr. Neumeyer that our plans for site development are still very preliminary, and the final location of the power plant, parking facilities, as well as the main office building, will not be determined until the results of these soil analyses have been thoroughly studied. Mr. Neumeyer was advised that we had never considered locating major parking facilities

*Eng.*

along the boundary of our property nearest his residence, and that we have never intended to utilize Savile Lane as a means of principal access to the property. He was further informed that we have no firm plans at the moment for fencing the area, but in the event it may be considered necessary to erect some sort of security fence somewhere on the property, we intend to preserve as much of the natural growth as possible.

Our representative suggested to Mr. Neumeyer that he and his neighbors visit the Agency to discuss these matters in detail and review our preliminary site plans with members of our Building Planning Staff. I regret that Mr. Neumeyer has not taken advantage of our invitation and that we were unsuccessful in alleviating his concern. I assure you that we are giving every consideration to the potential effect our development might have upon the value of real property in the area.

"attachment" typed in on original

STAT  
OL/BPS/ [redacted] mac(9 July 1957) sincerely,

Distribution:

0 & 1 - Addressees [redacted] Signed  
✓ 1 - ER 3 - DD/S [redacted] Allen W. Dulles  
1 - DCI 2 - OL/BPS [redacted] Director  
1 - DDCI [redacted]  
1 - Legis. Counsel [redacted]  
1 - D/L [redacted]

CONCURRENCES:

STAT

[redacted]

Director of Logistics

9 July 1957  
Date

STAT

[redacted]

Acting Deputy Director  
(Support)

11 July 57  
Date

STAT

[redacted]

Legislative Counsel

Originated By:

[redacted]

STAT

Building Planning Staff

9 July

For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP80R01731R00

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, D. C.

July 2 1957

Hon. Allen Dulles, Director  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Washington 25, D. C.

Sir:

9.4975

The attached communication  
is sent for your consideration.  
Please investigate the statements  
contained therein and forward me  
the necessary information for re-  
ply, returning the enclosed corre-  
spondence with your answer.

Yours truly,

M. C.

JOEL T. BROYHILL  
MEMBER OF CONGRESS  
10TH DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

STAT

[Redacted]  
June 28, 1957

Honorable Joel T. Broyhill  
House of Representatives  
House Office Building  
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Broyhill:

Enclosed is a letter I received last week from Mr. Thomas C. Barringer, Federal House Administration Director for Washington. This letter contains information relative to some proposed changes that the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) contemplates making to their property which lies across the road from my home in McLean, Virginia.

You will note that Mr. Barringer covers what effect these changes might have on the marketability of my property. Mr. Dulles, Director of the CIA, has on several occasions stated that if the CIA came to Langley, they would make every effort to be good neighbors and would always attempt to minimize the effect this installation would have on existing properties in the neighborhood. It appears, however, that these contemplated changes will have more than the minimum effect on my property and the property of my neighbors, if what Mr. Barringer says in his letter is correct.

We, my neighbors and I, would appreciate it if you could take this matter up with the CIA and their architectural planning staff, to see what might be done in regard to the contemplated changes so that they will have a minimum impact on our properties, which lie immediately across the lane from them. Any information you could give me concerning the action you receive from CIA in regard to the contents of this letter and of Mr. Barringer's letter would be appreciated.

Very truly yours,